

# IPCC Expert Meeting on Reconciling land use emissions

9-11th July 2024, Ispra (Italy)

## Conclusions



What did you learn from this meeting?

Join at  
**slido.com**  
**#land**



**Which word do you think expresses better the attempt to address the discrepancies on land use emissions we discussed?**

Join at  
**slido.com**  
**#IPCC\_land3**



# Conclusions

- **Where we are:** the understanding on the “two languages” for land use estimates and on the related implications has improved. Many things still to be clarified, including terminology, data and methods used by the different communities. But a new dialogue has started, and there is a clear interest to continue that.
- **Where we want to go, and how:** specific topics for consideration for each community within the IPCC working framework and within other processes (e.g. GCP/RECCAP)
  - **NGHGI:** taking advantage of the ongoing improvement on NGHGI, better implementation of managed land proxy using *existing* IPCC guidelines; transparency on data/methods to allow other communities to understand better what is included
  - **Global models / Earth Observation:** transparency on data/methods used, disaggregation; for products that *primarily* targets countries/policies, use the “language” of NGHGI as *complementary* approach to land use CO<sub>2</sub> estimates
  - **Both communities:** need and interest for mutual data exchange, information sharing and to continue this dialogue.
- **Communicate the implications on remaining C budget/net zero:** the open questions have been discussed and partly clarified. Risks exist in communicating the implications, but also in not communicating them at all.

→ EM report to inform AR7 Scoping meeting and provide relevant background information to the Parties of the Paris Agreement



